



Questionnaire Results
Public Information Meetings June 7, 13 & 14, 2006

Do you agree with the following components of the Delsom Plan?

1. **Circulation:**




Response	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	24	31%
Agree	36	47%
Disagree	7	9%
Strongly Disagree	8	10%
No Opinion	2	3%
Total	77	100%



Response	# of Responses	# of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	36	47%
Agree	33	43%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	4	5%
No Opinion	4	5%
Total	77	100%


2. **Design Concepts:**

2.1 *Single Family homes*



Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	35	46%
Agree	33	44%
Disagree	3	4%
Strongly Disagree	2	3%
No Opinion	2	3%
Total	75	100%


Question 2.1 (b)
Detached single level living home (master on main)



Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	37	49%
Agree	32	43%
Disagree	0	1%
Strongly Disagree	5	7%
No Opinion	1	1%
Total	75	100%


2.2 Multi family homes

Question 2.2 (a)
Single level living townhome (master on main)




Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	35	46%
Agree	31	40%
Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	7	9%
No Opinion	3	4%
Total	77	100%

Question 2.2 (b)
2/3 level towhhome



Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	29	38%
Agree	29	38%
Disagree	3	4%
Strongly Disagree	10	13%
No Opinion	5	7%
Total	76	100%


**Question 2.2 (c)
3 level townhome**



Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	27	36%
Agree	23	32%
Disagree	8	11%
Strongly Disagree	10	14%
No Opinion	5	7%
Total	73	100%

2.3 Neighbourhood commercial/senior's apartments


**Question 2.3
Neighbourhood commercial/seniors' apartments**



Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	29	42%
Agree	31	45%
Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	6	9%
No Opinion	2	3%
Total	69	100%


3. Sustainable development features

**Question 3 (a)
Central park and pond**




Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	52	71%
Agree	16	22%
Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	2	3%
No Opinion	2	3%
Total	73	100%

Question 3 (b)
Street tree planting scheme




Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	54	74%
Agree	16	22%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	2	3%
No Opinion	1	1%
Total	73	100%

Question 3 (c)
Geothermal technology for clubhouse and seniors' apartments/commercial buildings




Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	42	57%
Agree	21	28%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	2	3%
No Opinion	9	12%
Total	74	100%

Question 3 (d)
Roof top garden for commercial building



Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	37	49%
Agree	23	31%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	4	5%
No Opinion	11	15%
Total	75	100%

Question 3 (e)
Swales and cisterns to collect storm water



Responses	# of Responses	% of Total Responses
Strongly Agree	46	62%
Agree	19	25%
Disagree	1	1%
Strongly Disagree	2	3%
No Opinion	7	9%
Total	75	100%

Official Community Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application at Nordel Way and 84 Avenue (Delsom)

Summary of Public Comments and Issues Public Information Meeting Series (June 7, 13 and 14, 2006)

Housing and Density:

- Shortage of housing choices in North Delta. New housing options for various family types (including singles, seniors and young and established families) are needed in North Delta.
- Many people looking to downsize cannot remain in North Delta; this development would allow them to do so.
- Proposal fits in with the existing neighbourhood.
- No basements in single family homes (crawl space only) and ensure homes are not suite-able.
- Term “single level living” home is misleading. Should have been labeled “two storey home with master on the main” to avoid confusion.
- Density is still too high.
- There should be more single family lots and less townhouses.
- Single family lots are too narrow and do not fit in with the existing character of the neighbourhood.
- 3-level townhouses are too dense and imposing.

Traffic and Circulation:

- Traffic is an existing issue and will only be made worse by this development.
- Commitments must be made (possibly by way of covenants) to ensure that rights-of-ways and cul-de-sacs are not opened up to existing streets in the future.
- Upgrades to roads and traffic calming needed in existing neighbourhood.
- Transit must be improved (including public transit, shuttle services and HOV lane to the Alex Fraser Bridge).
- Impact of construction traffic on surrounding neighbourhoods.

Parks and Environment:

- Impact of proposed development on local park and recreation amenities.
- Mini soccer field or other sports fields would be a positive addition to both the new and existing neighbourhoods.
- More tree retention and tree planting.
- Vary the topography of the pathways and ensure they lead to points of interest or connection points and focus on safety in design.
- Safety and privacy concerns for residences along proposed pathways.
- Facilities needed for children of all ages (especially older children and youth).

General Comments:

- The development is overdue and should get started as soon as possible. Enough time has been spent consulting the public.
- Many looking forward to purchasing units in this development.
- The proposed neighbourhood commercial centre is supportable.
- Public hearing should not take place in the summer when people are away.
- All reports and information should be available to the public including arborist’s report and traffic impact study.
- Public consultation process was very well organized and inclusive this time around. Big improvement over last consultation process.